

§3 #3, 4, 7, 8, 10

Set	Bounded	Closed	Cpt
(a) $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \ x\  \leq 1\}$	Yes	Yes	Yes
(b) $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \ x\  = 1\}$	Yes	Yes	Yes
(c) $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \ x\  < 1\}$	Yes	No	No
(d) $\mathbb{R} \times 0$	No	Yes	No
(e) $\mathbb{R}^2 - \mathbb{R} \times 0$	No	No	No
(f) $\mathbb{Z}^2$	No	Yes	No
(g) $\{(\frac{1}{n}, 0) \mid n=1, 2, 3, \dots\}$	Yes	No	No
(h) $\mathbb{R}^2$	No	Yes	No
(i) $\emptyset$	Yes	Yes	Yes

④ Neither closed nor bounded is preserved by homeomorphism

In Homework ① we showed  $(0, 1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .  $(0, 1)$  is not closed in  $\mathbb{R}$  but  $\mathbb{R}$  is. This example also works for boundedness.

⑦ If  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected and  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  then  $X \cup Y$  is connected.

Proof: If  $X \cup Y = A \cup B$  is a disconnection, then  $X = (X \cap A) \cup (X \cap B)$  would be a disconnection of  $X$  unless one of  $X \cap A$  and  $X \cap B$  is empty. Similarly for  $Y \cap A$  and  $Y \cap B$ . Since both  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty,  $X \subseteq A$  and  $Y \subseteq B$  or vice versa. But then any  $x \in X \cap Y$  is in both  $A$  and  $B$ , so  $A \cup B$  is not a disconnection after all. //

⑧ If  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is connected, then  $C$  is an interval.

Proof: If  $a, b \in C$  and  $a < c < b$  then  $C = (C \cap (-\infty, a]) \cup (C \cap (c, \infty))$  would disconnect  $C$  if  $c \notin C$ . To see that this implies  $C$  is an interval, we must consider cases as on the next page.

Comments on intervals: let  $C$  be a nonempty set of reals, and

Suppose  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$a, b \in C \text{ and } a < c < b \Rightarrow c \in C.$$

Let

$$m = \text{glb } C \quad \text{and} \quad M = \text{lub } C.$$

Then one of the following holds:

- (1) If both  $m$  and  $M$  are infinite ( $m = -\infty$  and  $M = \infty$ ) then  $C = \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2)  $m$  infinite and  $M$  finite implies  $C = (-\infty, m)$  or  $(-\infty, M]$ .
- (3)  $m$  finite and  $M$  infinite implies  $C = (m, \infty)$  or  $[M, \infty)$ .
- (4) Both  $m$  and  $M$  finite implies  $C = (m, M)$ ,  $(m, M]$ ,  $[m, M)$  or  $[m, M]$ .

Proof:  $C$  nonempty  $\Rightarrow$  (1)-(4) exhaust the possibilities for  $m$  and  $M$ .

Case (1): given  $x \in \mathbb{R} \exists a, b \in C$  with  $a < x$  and  $x < b$  so  $x \in C$ .

Case (2): given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x < M$ ,  $\exists a, b \in C$  with  $a < x < b$ . Thus  $x \in C$ . Hence  $(-\infty, M) \subseteq C$ .  $M = \text{glb } C \Rightarrow (m, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus C$  so  $C = (-\infty, M]$  or  $(-\infty, M)$ .

Case (3): Like (2).

Case (4): given  $x \in (m, M)$ ,  $x > m = \text{glb } C \Rightarrow \exists a \in C$ ,  $a < x$ . Similarly,  $x < M = \text{lub } C \Rightarrow \exists b \in C$ ,  $b > x$ . Then  $a < x < b$  so  $x \in C$ . Hence  $(m, M) \subseteq C \subseteq [m, M]$  as claimed. //

(10) Suppose that for each pair of points  $x, y \in S$ , there is a connected subset  $C \subseteq S$  containing both  $x$  and  $y$ . Then  $S$  is connected.

Proof: Suppose  $S$  is disconnected and that  $S = A \cup B$  is a disconnection. Thus, no point of  $A$  is near  $B$  and vice versa. Let  $a \in A, b \in B$  and suppose  $a, b \in C \subseteq S$ . Then

$$C = (C \cap A) \cup (C \cap B)$$

with  $a \in C \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $b \in C \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Further, no element of  $C \cap A$  is near  $C \cap B$  since it would then be near  $B$ , and vice versa. Hence  $C$  is disconnected.

It follows that any subset containing both  $a$  and  $b$  must be disconnected. //